#### **PATENT**

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS:

Karl KOCH ET AL - 1 PCT

**SERIAL NO.:** 

10/560,350

FILED: JANUARY 18, 2006

PCT NO.:

PCT/EP2004/006306

FILED: JUNE 11, 2004

TITLE:

METHOD FOR THE PRODUCTION OF A CORE SAND AND/OR

MOLDING SAND FOR CASTING PURPOSES

SUBMISSION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed, please find PTO Form PCT/IB/373 and Form PCT/ISA/237 (International

Preliminary Report On Patentability).

It is respectfully requested that these papers be placed into the application file.

Respectfully submitted,

Karl KOCH ET AL - 1 PC

COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11576

(516) 365-9802

FJD:rd

Enclosures.: PTO Form PCT/IB/373 and Form PCT/ISA/237

Allison C. Collard, Reg. No. 22,532 Edward R. Freedman, Reg. No. 26,048 Frederick J. Dorchak, Reg. No. 29,298

Attorneys for Applicants

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP Amendment, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 2, 2006.

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 98 874/M/nu	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006306	International filing date (day/month/year) 11 June 2004 (11.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 June 2003 (12.06.2003)
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	n edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant S & B INDUSTRIAL MINERALS G	МВН	

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authorit	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ty under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 10 sheets, including this cover sheet.
		ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant rdate (Rule 4401s .2).	emmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)

Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75

Ellen Moyse

Authorized officer

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ITY		Alle,
Го:			PCT PCT
			ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER A	CTION
98 874/M/nu			See paragraph 2 below
International application No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2004/006306	11.06.2004		12.06.2003
Applicant S & B INDUSTRIAL MINI	ERALS GMBH		
1. This opinion contains indications rela	ding to the following items	:	
Box No. I Basis of the	opinion		
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establi  Box No. IV Lack of uni	shment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention		
Box No. V Reasoned st	tatement under Rule 43bis. y; citations and explanation	.1(a)(i) with regard to one supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited		
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international app	plication	
Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application	
International Preliminary Examining than this one to be the IPEA and the this International Searching Authorit	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified y will not be so considered to considered to be a writte priate, with amendments. n of 22 months from the principle.	of that this does not apply the International Bur i  contact of the IPEA before the expiration	Il be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other eau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA at of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
traine and maining address of the 1979 DI			
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006306

Вс	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed.	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
		, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4	. Add	litional comments:
1		
1		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Box No. II Priority
1. The following document has not yet been furnished:
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions wl applicable have n	hether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially not been examined in respect of:
the en	ntire international application
Claims	s Nos. 4-7
because:	
the sa	nid international application, or the said claims Nos.
relate	to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	lescription. claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 4-7
are so	o unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
See	e supplemental sheet
the c	claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
1	nternational search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions in that:
the v	written form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
.,	computer readable form has not been furnished
the c	does not comply with the standard
	<del></del>
the t	tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the unical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
I —	Supplemental Box for further details.
🗀 🎫	Supplemental Dox for father adding.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Stateme				
Nov	elty (N)	Claims	10	YES
			1-3, 8-9	NO
Inve	ntive step (IS)	Claims		YES
2.1.0			1-3, 8-10	NO
Indi	istrial applicability (IA)	Claima	1-10	YES
, , ,		Claims		NO
	ns and explanations:			
			arity defects (cf. point 3), the	
fol	lowing opini	on is	provided with regard to novelty and	
inv	entive step:			
1	The presen	t opi	nion makes reference to the following	
	documents:			
			14 A (OLSON ERWIN A ET AL.) 25 March	
	1958 (1958			
			539 A (ASHLAND SUEDCHEMIE KERNFEST)	
	18 September 1997 (1997-09-18)  D3: GB 1 444 280 A (SHELL INT RESEARCH) 28 July			
	1976 (1976	5-07-2	28)	
_	<b>-1</b>		lightion does not meet the	
2	_		olication does not meet the	
	=		F PCT Article 33(1), because the	
	_		of independent claim 1 and of	
	_		ns 2, 3, 8 and 9 is not novel with the	
	meaning of	F PCT	Article 33(2).	
ı	Document 1	01 dis	scloses (the references between	
			late to said document) compositions	

for casting cores and moulds, consisting of sand, binders and additives which are mixed (column 1,

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

lines 1-24).

The additives contain cellulose, milled flax, which after milling preferably has a particle size of 50-500  $\mu$ m (column 3, lines 21-34).

Particle size distribution for three examples A, B, C are disclosed in the table in column 4. Example C satisfies the conditions of claims 1 and 2.

Example II in column 5 discloses a quartz sand with organic additive (milled flax) and inorganic additive (bentonite) as well as water, which are mixed for production. In the process, the grains of sand are inevitably sheathed by the additive. Flax also appears to meet the conditions of claims 5 and 7.

Therefore, D1 discloses both the features of claim 1 and the features of dependent claims 2, 3, 8 and 9.

The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

Document D2 is considered by the applicant to be the closest prior art to the subject matter of claim 1. It discloses all the features of the preamble of claim 1.

No details are provided as to the process of producing the additive or its grain sizes.

According to D3, milled bitumen with grain sizes of

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

from 0.02-1.0 mm is used as organic additive (page 2, lines 60-68). The sand is quartz sand (claim 3). The milling and the resulting grain size for the moulding sand additive is regarded as conventional.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art would combine the features disclosed in D2 and D3 and thereby arrive at the subject matter of claim 1, without thereby being inventive.

Therefore, the subject matter of independent claim 1 cannot be considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

Dependent claims 2, 3, 8-10 do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

#### Box III

- The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6, because claims 1, 4 and 6 are not clear.
- 1.1 Claim 1 relates to a process for producing a moulding/core sand. The only process features mentioned are:
  - moulding base material is mixed with additive grains.
  - additive grains are milled or pelletized, or the aggregate grains formed are pelletized or milled. The numerous "and/or" links means that the continuous production process is not clearly defined, for example because it is not apparent, for example when producing aggregate grains, whether the additive grains are also milled. The order in which the features are carried out is not evident, because the characterizing clause refers simultaneously to obviously preceding features (milling of the additive grains) and subsequent features (pelletizing the aggregate grains). Therefore, the scope of protection of claim 1 is not clearly defined.
- 1.2 Claim 1 relates to a production process. The features of dependent claims 4-7 are regarded as functional features. However, it is not clear from the wording whether the functional properties relate

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006306

Supplemental Box

to the additive as raw material or starting material or occur in the moulding sand as the product produced after the process; this applies in particular in the case of agglomerate grain production.

Therefore, claims 4-7 do not comply with PCT Article 6.

There are also objections pursuant to PCT Article 5 relating to the feasibility of execution of the claimed invention within the scope of claims 4-7.

The PCT guidelines (PG-II 5.46-48) explain that although a reasonable number of attempts and failed attempts are permissible, a person skilled in the art, based on the disclosure of the application, must be able to carry out the invention over the entire range claimed without "excessive experimentation" and without unacceptably large numbers of attempts. The scope of the claims is of relevance in this context, since a person skilled in the art, based on the content of disclosure of the application, must be able to carry out the invention over the entire claimed range. The possibility of selecting from organic components for the additive having at least one of the properties mentioned in claims 4-7, however, appears almost infinitely wide, and consequently the number of attempts must be considered unacceptable.

Therefore, the objection under PCT Article 5 is justified in this case.